

[27th November 1928]

Acting and permanent Tahsildars in Ganjam district.

* 739-B Q.—MR. B. RAMACHANDRA REDDI : Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) the number, qualifications and the community to which each of the acting and permanent Deputy Tahsildars in the Ganjam district belongs;

(b) whether the Government propose to take steps to recruit and promote qualified non-Brahmans as Deputy Tahsildars in the Ganjam district; and

(c) the number and communities of Tahsildars in the Ganjam district, acting and permanent?

A.—(a) & (c) The hon. Member's attention is invited to the answer to clauses (a) and (b) of question No. 739-A.

(b) The hon. Member is referred to answer to clause (c) of question No. 739-A.

Selection of a probationary revenue inspector in Ganjam district.

* 740-A Q.—DIWAN BAHADUR P. C. ETHIRAJULU NAYUDU : Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Collector of Ganjam district called for applications in the district gazette for the selection of a probationary revenue inspector;

(b) how many applications there were for the place and what are the communities they belong to; and

(c) whether the District Collector personally met the applicants and examined them or appointed a committee to examine the fitness of the candidates?

A.—(a) Yes—in the *Ganjam District Gazette* for July 1928.

(b) Nineteen—

2 Oriya Brahmans.

2 Oriya non-Brahmans.

7 Telugu Brahmans.

1 Christian.

1 Muhammadan.

6 Telugu non-Brahmans.

(c) The Collector saw those of the candidates who presented themselves in person. He did not appoint a committee.

Selection of a probationary revenue inspector in Ganjam.

* 740-B Q.—MR. B. RAMACHANDRA REDDI : Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Collector of Ganjam district called for applications in the district gazette for selection of a probationary revenue inspector;

(b) if so, how many applications there were for the place and what were the communities they belonged to;

(c) whether the District Collector personally met the applicants and examined them or appointed a committee to examine the fitness of the candidates;

(d) the necessary qualifications for a probationary revenue inspector;

27th November 1928]

(e) whether the son of Mr. Seshagiri Rao Pantulu, a native of Godavari West, was appointed by the District Collector as probationary revenue inspector in the Ganjam district; and

(f) what are the superior qualifications of this candidate over other candidates; and whether the Government will be pleased to call for a report?

A.—(a), (b) & (c) The hon. Member is referred to the answer given to question No. 740-A.

(d) The hon. Member is referred to the answer given to clause (b) of the question No. 1344 asked in the Legislative Council on 10th February 1926.

(e) M.R.Ry. D. Basavaraju, B.A., son of M.R.Ry. D. Seshagiri Rao Pantulu Garu, Treasury Deputy Collector, Ganjam, was appointed as probationary revenue inspector.

(f) The Collector considered this candidate to be the most suitable of the applicants. The information given to these questions has been reported by Collector in reply to a call from Government for a report.

Public Service

Communal representation in public service.

*740-C Q.—MR. S. SATYAMURTI: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether in supersession or in modification of the existing Government Orders on the subject any fresh orders have been issued or are proposed to be issued by this Government regulating either recruitment to, or promotion in Government services on communal grounds;

(b) if so, the terms or principles of those orders; and

(c) the reasons why such orders have been or are proposed to be issued?

A.—(a), (b) & (c) In 1921 the Government accepted at the instance of the Legislative Council the principle that the several communities should find representation in the public service and issued orders that officers making appointments should follow this principle. Orders were also issued for the compilation and presentation of returns to this House showing the result of these instructions. It has been repeatedly asserted in this House and outside it that these orders have been ineffective and inoperative and it is a fact that the returns compiled to exhibit the effect of the orders do not show that there has been much change in practice in the years since the order was issued. Accordingly when considering the rules to be framed under the Civil Services (Governors' Provinces) Delegation Rules, 1926, for regulating the conditions of service of Provincial and subordinate services and special posts the question of giving effect to the orders referred to above has also been dealt with. In the case of those services where the requirement of special or technical qualifications does not make any rule of communal recruitment unworkable, it has generally been prescribed that recruitment shall be regulated by the following rules: